

In the Snaresbrook Crown Court

Case No:

Between:

Crown

-Vs-

16 years old

M. K

A. M

M. A

Defendant

=====
Brief details about the case
=====

The defendants were arrested on the 11 February 2003 and discharged on the 18 August 2003. The defendants were found not guilty of the offences with which they had been charged with.

The defendant has been charged with the following offences.

Count 1 – 2

On or before the 11th February 2003 within the jurisdiction of the Central Criminal Court conspired together with a 16 years old, M. K, A. M, M . A to commit burglary and criminal damage,

Count 3-4

On the 11th February 2003_at Finsbury Park_Mosque at St Thomas Road, N4 that the defendants did assault Andrew Reeder PC 640N1 thereby causing him actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offence Against the Persons Act 1861.

On the 11th February 2003 at Finsbury Park Mosque at St Thomas Road N4 you did assault Dan Wright police sergeant 87NI thereby occasioning him actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 Against the Persons Act 1861.

This was reduced to common assault.

During the case the Judge threatened the defendant legal team that if the lawyers did not provide information relating to the defendant witnesses and what evidence they were going to provide than the 16 years defendants bail would be taken away. As the lawyers did not provide this information the Judge placed the 16 year old in custody from Friday to Monday when he was granted bail again.

There will be proceeding that will be brought as this is an abuse of the power by the Judge and a complaint will be formally filed on this matter.

This case should never have been tried in the first instance as it was nothing more than a political case.

It is vital for us to maintain the jury system as politically motivated cases of this nature where sheikh Abu Hamza sons and others who were on trail would never receive justice otherwise.

It is compulsory for a jury system to be preserved at all cost to prevent gross miscarriages of justice and to prevent political convictions taking place.

Victory on this case is a clear indication that cases should not be tried for political reasons as they are doomed to fail.

This case should never have been tried in the first instance as a Mosque is a place of worship, a mosque is owned by all of the Muslims and each Muslim has a right to go enter a Mosque. No individual has a right to prevent a Muslim from entering the mosque.

It is wholly inappropriate for a place of worship to be closed in the manner that Finsbury Park Mosque has been kept closed to the worshipers. The closure of the Mosque in itself is a breach of Islamic Jurisprudence. Further the closure of the Mosque is only being undertaken for political reasons and not for legitimate reasons.

In relation to the 16 year old defendant whose liberty was taken away, we have instructions to instigate proceedings for breach of his Human Rights as he was placed on remand from Friday to Monday.

The defence Lawyers were threatened that if defence did not disclose the defence witnesses details and the nature of the evidence than the 16 years old Defendants bail would be taken away, which is precisely what unfortunately happened in this particular case.

